Breaking the compositional degeneracy in the a-M-R-t plane.

Christoph Mordasini

Y. Alibert, W. Benz, K. Dittkrist, P. Molliere, S. Jin, G. Marleau



Close-in low-mass planets



- Very frequent: ~50% of solar like star (1<R/R_⊕<4 & P<100 days; Kepler)
- From RV: ~40% with M<30 M_⊕ inside 50 days (HARPS)
- Large diversity in composition. Some w. low density (H/He envelopes of ~1-10% for R/R $_{\oplus}$ > 1.6), some Earth-like

Formation mechanism?

Formation mechanism?

| | Strictly in situ | Migration then final assembly | Large scale migration after assembly from a>a |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Core composition | Rocky | Rocky - Icy potentially radial composition gradient | lcy |
| Primordial H/He (post-formation) | Yes (w/o isolation) No (w isolation) | Yes (w/o isolation) No (w isolation) | Yes |

Knowing the bulk composition (H/He; rocky, icy, mixed) would be of very high interest for formation theory

Formation beyond iceline: ice mass fraction 50-75%

Hansen & Murray 2012, 2013, Chiang & Laughlin 2013, Raymond & Cossou 2013, Ida & Lin 2013, Lee et al. 2014, Schlichting 2014, Hands et al. 2014, Cossou et al. 2014, Chattarjee & Tan 2014, Ohigara et al. 2015, Inamdar & Schlichting 2015,

Degenerate M-R relation

Formation of close-in low-mass planets: in situ vs. migration Formation beyond iceline: ice mass fraction 50-75%

Knowing the bulk composition (H/He; rocky, icy, mixed) would be of very high interest for formation theory

Even for only the 4 standard "ingredients" of planetary interiors (iron, silicates, ices, H/He) the M-R is degenerate:



⇒reduce the number of unknown "ingredients" to 2 for some planets

H/He: Evolution with atmo. escape

M_{star}=1 M_{sun} Isothermal Type I rate x 0.1. Cold accretion. 1 embryo/disk, f_{opa}=0.003



Bern model: Core-accretion population synthesis

Self-consistent formation and evolution (cont. & cooling) with XUV-driven atmo. escape.

Fraction of Envelope Lost

Not much evolution after >~100 Myr

Bare core triangle a-M is hardly affected

The evaporation valley



cf Lammer et al. 2003, Baraffe et al. 2004, Erkaev et al. 2007, Murray-Clay et al. 2009, Lopez et al. 2013, Owen & Wu 2013

Systematic investigation

Systematic study: a=0.01-0.6 AU; M_{core}=0.2-20 M_{Earth}

Initial H/He envelope mass: $M_{\text{enve}} = 0.03 \times \left(\frac{M_{\text{core}}}{M_{\oplus}}\right)^2 M_{\oplus}$



cf. Rogers 2014

The solid-gas transition



Iron mass fraction ?



Fe mass fraction

| mass % | Corot-7 | Kepler-93 | Kepler-10 | Sun | Sun (L03) | |
|--------|---------|-----------|-----------|-------|-----------|------|
| Η | 74.83 | 75.09 | 74.77 | 75.06 | 74.91 | |
| He | 23.61 | 23.69 | 23.61 | 23.68 | 23.77 | |
| Н | 0.80 | 0.55 | 0.90 | 0.50 | 0.51 | |
| CH | 0.32 | 0.37 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.29 | |
| Fe | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.12 | (0.17) | |
| MgSiO | 0.30 | 0.07 | 0.16 | 0.25 | (0.27) | |
| Mg | 0 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.03 | | |
| SiO | 0.005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Ζ | 1.56 | 1.21 | 1.61 | 1.26 | 1.32 | |
| f | 29.4 | 29.1 | 25.6 | 31.0 | 38.0 | 32.6 |
| f | 70.6 | 70.9 | 74.3 | 69.0 | 62.0 | |

Inferring the ice mass fraction

Planets in bare core triangle • no H/He • assume fixed Earth-like Fe:Silicate fraction for *all* planets (firon=1/3) => can invert $M, R \Rightarrow \rho_{nom} \Rightarrow f_{ice,nom}$

preliminary simplistic analysis: Future work -use actual stellar composition -better treatment of errors -several interior, EOS & evap. models

The ice mass fraction, revealed (?)

Obs: Weiss & Marcy 2014

The ice mass fraction, revealed (?)

| | Μ | R | f _{ice} | |
|-----------|---------|-----------|---------------------|-------------------|
| 55 Canc e | 8.3±0.4 | 1.99±0.07 | 0.03 - 0.20, - 0.34 | Orbital migration |
| K-98 b | 3.6±1.6 | 1.99±0.22 | 0.23- 0.94 - ≥1 | Atmospheric |
| K-48 b | 3.9±2.1 | 1.88±0.10 | 0.11-0.62 -> ≥1 | spectra! |

55 Canc e: Demory et al. 2011: 20% ice, but see Demory et al. 2015, Madhusudhan et al. 2012, Alibert submitted

<u>Statistics</u>: 57 planets (solar-like host, M>0)

- a) 29 outside bare core triangle
 - -3 unconstrained
 - -0 constrained rocky
 - -11 constrained with H/He
 - -15 constrained with H/He or ice
- b) 28 in the bare core triangle
 - -18 unconstrained
 - -7 constrained rocky
 - -3 constrained with ice (but...)

Impact of M and R errors

Reducing the errors

Statistics: 57 planets (solar-like host, M>0) a) 29 outside bare core triangle -3 unconstrained -0 constrained rocky -11 constrained with H/He -15 constrained with H/He or ice b) 28 in the bare core triangle -18 unconstrained

-7 constrained rocky

-3 constrained with ice (but...)

Effect of reducing error in radial velocity measurement $\sigma_{K-RV} [m/s]$

| | WM14 | 0.6 m/s | 0.3 m/s | 0.1 m/s |
|--------------------------|------|---------|---------|---------|
| unconstrained outside | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| unconstrained inside | 18 | 14 | 9 | 7 |

Conclusions

Ice mass fraction of close-in low-mass planets: key constraint

•M-R degeneracy reduced by taking into account

temporal dimension
orbital distance
} atmospheric escape

chemical composition of host star

Simple analytical models for

transition gaseous-solid planet: Mbare / Rbare

All start with primordial H/He. No outgassing.

Ink stellar photospheric composition - planetary iron mass fraction • Earth like values expected for Corot-7b, Kepler-10b, Kepler-93b

Simplistic analysis of bulk composition of WM14 sample

- 3 planets might have massive ice shells: orbital migration. But...
- Much more refined analysis necessary

•Useful for analysis of input of M and R errors: low $\sigma_{K-RV} < 1$ m/s needed